



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Letter to the Editor

**Citation for published version:**

Black, R & Chin, R 2016, 'Letter to the Editor', *Epilepsy & Behavior*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.06.010>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.06.010)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**Published In:**

Epilepsy & Behavior

**Publisher Rights Statement:**

Author's final peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Epilepsy &  
Behavior

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: EB-16-344

Title: Letter to the Editor

Article Type: Letter to the Editor

Keywords:

Corresponding Author: Mrs. Rebecca Black,

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Edinburgh

First Author: Rebecca Black

Order of Authors: Rebecca Black; Richard Chin

Abstract: NA

To The Editor,

We would like to commend the qualitative research article “Epilepsy in children and adolescents: Disease concepts, practical knowledge and coping” by Pauschek et al [1], which provides much needed information on the under-examined area of children’s own perspectives of their epilepsy [2]. They used ‘child-friendly’ approaches of a structured interview and drawings tools to facilitate children’s involvement and participation [3].

However, the structured interview appears to be, as described, a question-answer session with associated correct and incorrect responses, some of which were validated by paediatric neurologists. This raises the questions as to whether the authors were trying to truly capture children’s own understanding of epilepsy and what it means, either correct or incorrect, or alternatively whether they were attempting to gauge whether children have a ‘medically correct’ grasp of epilepsy. Although both of these options are indeed useful and hold merit, they should not be confused.

A child’s understanding and associated description of how they experience a seizure is of use and enables paediatric neurologists to better appreciate a child’s own lived experience of epilepsy. Alternatively, as suggested by the authors, knowing whether children can ‘correctly’ describe their seizures holds insights into their diagnosis and diagnostic process for paediatric neurologists. Consider whether you would necessarily seek to ensure an adult’s responses were correct when asking them how they experience a seizure?

Additionally, notwithstanding manuscript word limit, a fuller discussion surrounding children’s actual described understandings of epilepsy would offer further insight into how they comprehend their condition and whether this comprehension is underpinned by biomedical or social knowledge. This level of nuance of children’s perspective can assist paediatric neurologists in their delivery of relevant and appropriate epilepsy information and support to children and their parents.

Furthermore, again respecting the word limit, more details on the how the study was carried out would have been useful. In particular, information around debriefing the children regarding their interview questions and who carried out the interviews (their own consultant or a stranger) would have been welcome. For instance, how were children supported after being asked if their disease was contagious and whether they thought they were worse off than other children without epilepsy? Such concerns could have influenced how a child responds to questions.

In spite of these caveats, the research presented by Dr Pauschek and colleagues [1] extends the much-needed evidence-base examining children’s own views and experiences of being diagnosed and living with epilepsy. It also reminds us that there is still much work to be done in this area.

Rebecca Black<sup>ab</sup>, Richard FM Chin<sup>ab</sup>

<sup>a.</sup> Muir Maxwell Epilepsy Centre, University of Edinburgh, Sylvan Place, EH9 1UW

<sup>b.</sup> Child Life and Health, University of Edinburgh, Sylvan Place, EH9 1UW

**Corresponding author**

Rebecca Black  
Muir Maxwell Epilepsy Centre  
University of Edinburgh  
Child Life & Health  
20 Sylvan Place  
EH9 1UW, UK  
[s0678667@sms.ed.ac.uk](mailto:s0678667@sms.ed.ac.uk)  
Tel 0131 536 0801

**Ethical publication statement**

We confirm that we have reviewed the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication, and the work described in this paper is consistent with those guidelines.

**Conflicts of interest statement**

There are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication.

**References**

- [1] Pauschek J, Bernhard MK, Syrbe S, Nickel P, Neiningen MP, Merkenschlager A, Kiess W, Bertsche T, Bertsche A. Epilepsy in children and adolescents: Disease concepts, practical knowledge, and coping. *Epilepsy Behav* 2016; 59:77-82.
- [2] Harden J, Black R, Chin RFM. Families' experiences of living with pediatric epilepsy: A qualitative systematic review. *Epilepsy Behav* 2016; 60:225-237.
- [3] Punch S. Research with children: The same or different from research with adults? *Childhood* 2002; 9(3):321-341.